Adenoides sinensis, a new sand-dwelling dinoflagellate species from China and reexamination of Adenoides eludens from an Atlantic strain

Haifeng Gu¹*, Xintian Li¹, Nicolas Chomérat², Zhaohe Luo¹, Diana Sarno³, Priscilla Gourvil⁴, Sergio Balzano⁴† and Raffaele Siano⁵*

¹Third Institute of Oceanography, State Oceanic Administration, Xiamen 361005, China ²Ifremer, LER BO, Station de Biologie Marine, Place de la Croix, BP40537, F-29185 Concarneau Cedex, France ³Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Department of Integrative Marine Ecology, Villa Comunale, 80121 Naples, Italy ⁴CNRS, UMR7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, 29680, Roscoff, France ⁵Ifremer – Centre de Brest, DYNECO PELAGOS, F-29280 Plouzané, France

ABSTRACT: The sand-dwelling dinoflagellate genera Adenoides and Pseudadenoides are morphologically very close but distinct in their molecular phylogeny. We established three cultures by isolating single cells from sand samples collected in intertidal zones of Qingdao (Yellow Sea), Dongshan (South China Sea) and Brittany (English Channel, North Atlantic, France). Strain morphology was examined with light and scanning electron microscopy, and both large subunit ribosomal DNA (LSU rDNA) and small subunit ribosomal DNA (SSU rDNA) sequences were amplified. Molecular phylogeny, corroborated by morphological examination showing the existence of a ventral pore, confirmed the identification of the French strain (RCC1982) as Adenoides eludens. The Chinese strains differed from Adenoides eludens in two additional posterior intercalary plates and differed from Pseudadenoides in one additional apical plate having the plate formula of Po, Cp, X, 5', 6", 4S, 5", 5p, 1"" or alternatively Po, Cp, X, 5', 6", 5S, 5", 3p, 2"". Maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference, carried out with concatenated LSU and SSU sequences, demonstrated that the Chinese strains were closely related but different from A. eludens and, in corroboration with morphological evidence, supported their classification as a distinct species, Adenoides sinensis sp. nov. Morphological and molecular results confirmed the close relationship between the two genera Adenoides and Pseudadenoides.

KEY WORDS: Amphidinium eludens, Amphidinium kofoidii, Benthic dinoflagellates, Molecular phylogeny, Pseudadenoides

INTRODUCTION

The taxonomic history of Adenoides dates back to the pioneering work on benthic dinoflagellates by Herdman (1922). She described two sand-dwelling species, Amphidinium eludens Herdman and Amphidinium kofoidii Herdman, collected at Port Erin beach, UK (illustrated in Herdman 1922, figs 1 and 2). Both had a minute epitheca, the latter species having a slightly larger one (Herdman 1922). Herdman (1922) also described Amphidinium kofoidii var. petasatum Herdman (illustrated in Herdman 1922, fig. 3), which had a more pronounced epitheca. Thecal plates were not examined at that time, and these taxa were only separated on the basis of their general morphology. Later, Balech (1956) investigated some material collected at Roscoff, France, which he considered morphologically similar to Amphidinium kofoidii, having the distinctive epitheca and a pyrenoid with a starch ring. After examining the plate pattern (1', 4", 5c, 4s, 5", 5p, 1"") he erected the genus Adenoides Balech, designating Adenoides eludens Balech as type species. Dodge (1982) transferred Amphidinium kofoidii into Adenoides as Adenoides kofoidii Dodge without making

* Corresponding authors [guhaifeng@tio.org.cn (H. Gu), Raffaele.Siano@ifremer.fr (R. Siano)].

† Present address: NIOZ, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Department of Marine Microbiology and Biogeochemistry, and Utrecht University, P.O. Box 59, NL-1790 AB Den Burg, The Netherlands.

DOI: 10.2216/17-76.1

© 2018 International Phycological Society

additional observations. Subsequently, Dodge & Lewis (1986) examined Adenoides kofoidii-like cells from Roscoff using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) but called it Adenoides eludens, on the basis that these two species might be conspecific, as previously considered by Balech (1956). Dodge & Lewis (1986) interpreted the plate pattern of A. eludens (=A. kofoidii) as Po, 3', 5c, 6s, 4''', 5p, 1''''. Hoppenrath et al. (2003) investigated A. eludens sensu Dodge & Lewis (1986) from the North German Wadden Sea using SEM pictures and provided two interpretations of the plate patterns: Po, 4', 6c, 4s, 5''', 5p, 1'''' or Po, 4', 6c, 5s, 5''', 3p, 2"". Many studies were carried out on strains identified as A. eludens-like, until the taxonomy of this species was clarified by Gómez et al. (2015) using new samples from Wimereux (English Channel, France). Gómez et al. (2015) showed that A. eludens sensu Balech did not have a girdle similar to A. kofoidii and argued that A. eludens sensu Dodge & Lewis (1986) and Hoppenrath et al. (2003) were erroneously classified. Based on new morphological and genetic evidence, Gomez et al. (2015) established the genus Pseudadenoides F.Gómez, R.Onuma, Artigas & Horiguchi to include Pseudadenoides kofoidii comb. nov. F.Gómez, R.Onuma, Artigas & Horiguchi formerly classified as A. kofoidii. Apart from the absence of a girdle in A. eludens, the two genera also differ in the number of apical plates, that is five in Adenoides and four in Pseudadenoides (Gómez et al. 2015). Recently, a new Pseudadenoides species, Pseudadenoides polypyrenoides Hoppenrath, Yubuki, R.Stern & B.S.Leander, was described. This species is genetically